

## Annex C: Technical Evaluation Criteria: LRPS-2024-9196176

The Technical Proposals will be evaluated against the following:		
REF	CATEGORY	POINTS
1	<b>Overall response:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Completeness of response- mandatory (failure of submitting required documentation mentioned in the ToR lead to incompleteness)</li> <li>Overall concord between RFP requirements and proposal</li> </ul>	Pass/Fail  Pass/Fail
2	<b>Institutional Capacity (Company/key personnel):</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Established partnership with local research/evaluation organizations based in Nepal</li> <li>Samples of at least 2 high-quality evaluations relevant to the ToR, conducted in the last 5 years to justify range and depth of experience preferably in South Asia (max 8 points for 2 samples (4 points each) + 2 extra point for additional sample depending on quality and relevancy of the submitted evaluation reports).</li> <li>Key personnel/core team: relevant experience and qualifications of the proposed core team for the assignment including in depth knowledge of the socio-economic conditions and geographical/socio-cultural diversity of Nepal to meet the ToR requirements. (<i>The following to be evaluated against the qualification and experience mentioned in the TOR section 12</i>):               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Team Leader</li> <li>Deputy/co-team Leader (local)</li> <li>Other team members (quantitative expert, qualitative expert, data analyst and/or others depending on the methods proposed, preferably a mix of local and international personnel)</li> </ul> </li> <li>Relevant experience and institutional capacity of the local research / evaluation partner / organization to deliver the required/outlined role and responsibilities. E.g. data collection, training and other field level activities among adolescents.</li> </ul>	30  5  5  5  5  5
3	<b>Proposed methodology and approach:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Detailed proposal with main tasks, including sound methodology to achieve key outputs (Annex B must be fully understood and reflected in the proposal)</li> <li>Clear description of quality assurance mechanisms to be used by the firm to deliver quality products. Includes both in house and/or outsourced quality assurance.</li> <li>Clear presentation of ethical considerations in conducting evidence generation activities with adolescents and other relevant respondents. These include description of existing in-house ethical review mechanisms/ teams and/existing partnerships with independent ethical review boards. Examples of how these worked in the past.</li> <li>Proposal presents a realistic implementation timeline</li> </ul>	30  15  5  5  5
4	<b>Service Provider's accountability towards Sustainable Procurement:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Employment Generation by maintaining Gender balance (more than 50% female staff (1.5 points)</li> <li>Having Policy regarding Labour rights (1 points)</li> <li>Rules/ policy regarding social inclusion including disable (1 points)</li> <li>Rules/ policy regarding Waste Management (1.5 points)</li> </ul> Bidder must provide supporting documents to specific initiatives that they have undertaken in environmental protection, employee welfare and community development.	5 (Bonus Point)
<b>Total technical score based on desk review of technical proposal</b>		<b>60</b>
<b>Only proposals which receive a minimum of 42 (including bonus) points (70% of technical score based on desk review of technical proposal) will be considered further for technical presentation.</b>		
5	<b>Technical Presentation</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Clear presentation and understanding of the proposed methodology and challenges</li> <li>Excellent response to technical questions and discussions on methodology with innovative solutions based on experience</li> </ul>	10  5  5
<b>Total Presentation Score</b>		<b>10</b>
<b>Grand Total Technical and Presentation</b>		<b>70</b>
<b>Only proposals which receive a minimum of 49 (including bonus) points (70% of total technical and presentation scores) will be considered further for financial proposal evaluation.</b>		