

CALL FOR PROPOSALS

**Provision of technical expertise to
guide the development and delivery of
e-learning trainings on climate finance
transparency**

CFP reference number: CFP-11875-2024-05

CFP document issue date: 2 December 2024

1. PARTICULARS

1.1. Background: The Initiative for Climate Action Transparency

The Initiative for Climate Action Transparency (ICAT) was established in 2015 at the COP that adopted the Paris Agreement to support implementation of the Agreement's Enhanced Transparency Framework. The Initiative works with over 50 developing countries ranging from large countries, like Nigeria, to small islands, such as Antigua & Barbuda and has initiated three Regional Climate Action Transparency Hubs in Central Africa, Central Asia and Central America.

ICAT provides countries with tailored support and practical tools and methodologies to build robust transparency frameworks needed for effective climate action in sync with national development priorities. The projects ICAT supports relate to: building or enhancing transparency frameworks for mitigation; building a monitoring and evaluation approach for adaptation; building or enhancing frameworks to track progress in implementing nationally determined contributions; assessing the impacts of climate policies; estimating or enhancing projections of greenhouse gases; integrating and/or aggregating climate actions at the subnational level and by non-State actors; building a tracking system for just transition processes; establishing or enhancing a climate data system; and putting in place a framework to track climate finance.

To support these areas, ICAT offers a suite of practical, open-source tools and methodologies to provide effective support to the transparency efforts of countries around the world.

ICAT is an unincorporated multi-stakeholder partnership steered by the Donor Steering Committee (DSC), composed of donors, Austria; Canada; Germany; Italy and the Children's Investment Fund Foundation (CIFF), and includes the United Nations Framework Convention for Climate Change (UNFCCC) Secretariat as the dedicated UN body with a climate change policy mandate, and UNOPS as an ex-officio member. The Initiative is hosted by UNOPS on behalf of the DSC. Within UNOPS, the ICAT Secretariat manages ICAT day-to-day activities, coordinating and guiding the work of the implementing partners.

1.2. Objectives of the grant/funding

Climate finance transparency is vital for countries to accurately assess and efficiently manage financial resources dedicated to achieving their individual climate objectives. Transparency plays a key role in tracking global efforts to meet the objectives of the Paris Agreement. It builds trust between stakeholders and showcases each individual country's commitment to its climate action.

Accurate data and accessible reports inform evidence-based decision-making at the local, national and international levels and encourage collective actions to address climate change. For developing countries, climate finance transparency is critical for planning and managing climate action, developing a financing strategy encompassing both the public and the private finance flows needed to implement Nationally Determined Contributions (NDCs) and long-term strategies, analyze the financing gaps, and mobilize additional funds for mitigation and adaptation purposes.

In 2015, the Paris Agreement introduced the Enhanced Transparency Framework (ETF) requiring all countries Party to the Agreement to build a national transparency framework. Starting in 2024, Parties to the Agreement are expected to submit their Biennial Transparency Report (BTR) to the UNFCCC.

Developing an accurate picture of climate finance at the national level requires identifying the stakeholders, sources of finance, data points, policies and measures that are climate-related and included in a country's NDC. The ability to measure, verify, and report climate-related finance and impacts of using climate finance at the national level is a critical element for developing countries to manage climate action at the national level and report climate finance needed and received through their BTR under the Paris Agreement. Another relevant element of the Paris Agreement is Article 2.1 (c) which foresees making "finance flows consistent with a pathway towards low greenhouse gas emissions and climate-resilient development."

Based on the needs identified by countries for more support in their climate finance transparency efforts, ICAT recently launched the [ICAT Climate Finance Transparency Guide](#), which provides a methodological framework to support the estimation and tracking of climate finance for NDC implementation, and the integration of such data into national transparency frameworks and reporting under the ETF. The guide is currently available in English, with Spanish and French versions due to be available by January 2025. The guide is accompanied by an excel-based tool and various templates to support users. The guide's step-by-step approach covers the following five phases:

- Scoping, planning, and institutional arrangements;
- Defining and classifying climate finance;

- Ex-ante climate finance needs assessment;
- Climate finance tracking; and
- Evaluation, from transparency to enhanced climate action.

The guide offers levels of complexity to meet the needs of countries at different stages of readiness to track, measure, manage and report on climate finance.

As an increasing number of countries have expressed a desire to apply the ICAT Climate Finance Transparency Guide, ICAT is now seeking a grantee to provide subject-matter guidance and substantive input to the development and delivery of two training offerings based on the aforementioned guide. These training offerings will consist of: **1) an e-learning module** and **2) a standalone e-learning course**, which should facilitate the understanding, uptake and accessibility of the guide.

1.3. Targeted impact of the grant/funding

To provide capacity building to developing countries on climate finance transparency so that they can use what they have learnt to advance the implementation of their climate policies and actions, in particular their contribution to implementing the Paris Agreement.

1.4. Scope of the grant/funding

ICAT is seeking a grantee to:

- Provide expert, subject-matter guidance and substantive input to the development of a self-paced **e-learning module** in English, which will be used as part of a blended training course on Climate Transparency and the ETF. The e-learning module will provide an easy to understand overview of the [ICAT Climate Finance Transparency Guide](#). The grantee would also be expected to facilitate an associated webinar with the course participants in English. Information about the blended training course can be accessed [here](#).
- Provide expert, subject-matter guidance and substantive input to the development of a self-paced, **standalone e-learning course** in English, which will enable the [ICAT Climate Finance Transparency Guide](#) to be more easily understood and accessible.

The grantee should have the relevant technical knowledge of: 1) climate finance; 2) transparency; and 3) training and capacity building, to successfully guide the development and delivery of these e-learning trainings. The e-learning module and e-learning course must be easy to understand, engaging, and meet the needs of the target beneficiaries as outlined below.

1.5. Target beneficiaries

Various stakeholders in developing countries at national and sub-national levels, including experts or officials working in or supporting ministries/agencies relevant to climate change and/or climate finance, involved in the development of national policies, climate reporting, data collection, and/or implementing transparency arrangements.

1.6. Activities under grant/funding

All activities spelled out below shall be conducted in close coordination with and based on instructions provided by the ICAT Secretariat. All deliverables are subject to review and endorsement by the ICAT Secretariat. ICAT will procure an e-learning designer to support this work, therefore applications **should not** include the cost for the design of the e-learning.

Activity 1. Development of an e-learning module on climate finance transparency in English

- Map the ICAT Climate Finance Transparency Guide and develop an outline based on this mapping for the e-learning module, which will be part of a broader blended training course on the ETF. This may be done as a slide deck or word document (or similar). The detailed outline must be reviewed and approved by the ICAT Secretariat.
- Working closely with the e-learning designer procured by ICAT, develop draft versions of the e-learning module. The e-learning module should take around 90 minutes to complete and be engaging, easy to understand and accessible by the target beneficiaries (as stated above in Section 1.5). The grantee is expected to:
 - Write the content of the e-learning module, based on the existing ICAT Climate Finance Transparency Guide, and include case studies where relevant. The ICAT Secretariat can provide country reports to support this; and

- ii) Provide subject-matter advice and input to the e-learning designer procured by ICAT, on the interactive elements, videos, graphics and self assessments/tests.
- c) Arrange the review(s) of the draft e-learning module by the ICAT Secretariat and implement any recommended changes as indicated. The draft module must be of a high standard without typos, grammar mistakes and technical bugs/issues
- d) Ensure formatting, design and layout are aligned with ICAT's latest branding guidelines and the overall format used in the blended training course on climate transparency and the ETF. The final version of the e-learning module must be proofread and edited, according to the UNOPS' editorial style guide. The grantee must ensure adequate proofreading and editing of the content, and liaise with the e-learning designer to resolve any technical bugs/issues.
- e) Handover the final content of the e-learning module as a slide deck.

Outputs:

- An outline for the e-learning module;
- Two drafts of the e-learning module (an initial draft and an advanced draft); and
- A final version of the content of the e-learning module.

Estimated Timeline:

- Detailed outline by 23 February 2025.
- Advanced draft by 15 April 2025 (the initial draft may be submitted at an appropriate time prior to the delivery of the advanced draft).
- Final version by 1 May 2025.

Activity 2. Facilitation of a webinar based on the e-learning module on climate finance transparency in English

- a) Develop a facilitation plan for a webinar, to serve as a “virtual classroom”, in consultation with the ICAT Secretariat, including inviting potential country speakers. The webinar should be interactive and will be up to 2 hours in duration for up to 80 participants from Anglophone African countries.
- b) Lead the overall facilitation and moderation of the webinar, which should include breakout group sessions, case studies and short exercises. The grantee **does not** need to provide a webinar platform, manage participants or logistics for the webinar, or send out invitations or reminders.
- c) Respond to questions that may be submitted by participants following the webinar.
- d) Develop a post-webinar summary report.

Outputs:

- Delivery of a webinar including facilitation, moderation and response to course participants; and
- Summary report of the webinar, including overview of discussions and lessons learned.

Estimated Timeline:

- April-May 2025.

Activity 3. Development of a standalone e-learning course on climate finance transparency in English

- a) In parallel with Activity 1, map the ICAT Climate Finance Transparency Guide and develop a proposed detailed outline based on this mapping, for a standalone e-learning course. The mapping can be done as a shared task between Activities 1 and 3, however, the grantee is required to develop two separate outlines for the e-learning module and the e-learning course. The outline may be done as a slide deck or word document (or similar) and must be reviewed and approved by the ICAT Secretariat.
- b) Working closely with the e-learning designer procured by ICAT, develop draft versions of the e-learning course. The e-learning course should aim to take up to 5 hours to complete and be engaging, easy to understand and accessible by the target beneficiaries (as stated above in Section 1.5). The grantee is expected to:
 - i) Write the content of the e-learning course, based on the existing ICAT Climate Finance Transparency Guide, and include case studies where relevant. The ICAT Secretariat can provide country reports to support this; and
 - ii) Provide subject-matter advice and input to the e-learning designer procured by ICAT on the interactive elements, videos, graphics and self assessments/tests.
- c) Arrange the review(s) of the draft e-learning course by the ICAT Secretariat and relevant experts, and implement any recommended changes as indicated. The draft course must be of a high standard without typos, grammar mistakes and technical bugs/issues.
- d) Ensure formatting, design and layout are aligned with ICAT's latest branding guidelines. The final version of the e-learning course must be professionally proofread and edited, according to the UNOPS' editorial style guide. The

grantee must ensure adequate proofreading and editing of the content, and liaise with the e-learning designer to resolve any technical bugs/issues.

- e) Handover the final content of the e-learning course as a slide deck.

Outputs:

- A detailed outline for the e-learning course;
- Two drafts of the e-learning course (an initial draft and an advanced draft); and
- A final version of the e-learning course.

Estimated timeline:

- Detailed outline by 15 May 2025.
- Advanced draft by 15 July 2025 (the initial draft may be submitted at an appropriate time prior to the delivery of the advanced draft).
- Final version by 15 August 2025.

Activity 4: Project Management

- a) Throughout the grant, ensure the work is being managed adequately and technical support and approaches are fully coordinated through active participation in meetings with the ICAT Secretariat. All meetings with the ICAT Secretariat will be virtual and carried out in English.
- b) Material developed under this project ensures ICAT's visibility and maximizes lessons learnt, helping to increase the user group and creating a broader community of practice of relevant experts

Expected outputs:

- Timely provision of progress reports and deliverables; and
- At least 1 presentation (virtual or in-person). In the case of an in-person presentation, ICAT would cover the cost of travel. Travel costs should not be included in the proposed budget.

Estimated timeline:

Ongoing throughout the duration of the project.

1.7. Grant/funding available

Total amount of grant/funding available

The following table indicates the total amount of grant/funding available under this Call for Proposals.

Currency	Amount
USD	up to 40,000 to 60,000

1.8. Grant/funding duration

The expected duration of the grant/funding is **12 months**.

1.9. Applicant eligibility

The following categories of applicants are eligible to apply under this Call for Proposals:

Applicant category(ies)

- ❖ Non-governmental organizations (NGOs)
- ❖ Foundations
- ❖ Civil society organizations (CSO)
- ❖ Grass-roots organizations
- ❖ Institutions or federations
- ❖ Academic and research institutions
- ❖ For-profit entities
- ❖ Non-UN intergovernmental organization
- ❖ UN system organization

The applicant shall not fall under any of the conditions listed in the [Instructions to Applicants](#), Article 1, which makes the applicant ineligible for this grant/funding.

Applicant country of registration and nationality

Applicants that are included or excluded under this Call for Proposals with regard to country of registration and nationality (for individuals) are as follows:

Open to all countries ▾

1.10. Content of proposal submissions

Applicants shall include the following:

- Proposal
- Annex 1: Declarations
- Annex 2: Protection from sexual exploitation and abuse (PSEA) implementing partner self-assessment (signed), including supporting documentation
- Annex 3: Financial proposal, signed and as a soft copy in Excel format
- A minimum of two (2) reports or references from similar projects completed in the past that are considered relevant to this Call for Proposals
- Audited financial statements from the last two financial years
- Certificate of registration/incorporation

Applicants must carefully read and understand the [Requirements](#) in this Call for Proposals and the [Instructions to Applicants](#) before completing the Proposal and Annexes.

1.11. Partial proposals

Partial proposals will not be permitted. Applicants shall submit a proposal for the total scope of the grant/funding and address all of the requirements in this Call for Proposals. Evaluation will be based on compliance with the total requirements.

1.12. Sub-granting¹ and contracting²

Sub-granting and contracting are only permitted under this Call for Proposals as follows:

Sub-granting	Permissible ▾
Contracting	Permissible ▾

1.13. Proposal currency

The proposal budget shall be prepared in the following currency(ies):

United States Dollars (USD)

1.14. Language of proposals

All proposals, information, documents and correspondence exchanged between UNOPS and the applicant shall be in English.

¹ Sub-grant is when an entity is selected by the implementing partner to implement activities on behalf of the implementing partner and complies with the same principles as outlined in the UNOPS Operational Instruction on [Grant Support](#).

² Contracting is done when an implementing partner procures services, goods or works using the procurement procedures of the IP.

1.15. Proposal submission

The deadline for the submission of proposals is **23 December 2024**. Proposals shall be submitted using the following method:

e-Mail ▾

Proposals shall be sent to icat.proposals@unops.org

The technical and financial proposals shall be submitted in two separate emails. Kindly refrain from copying any UNOPS personnel or any other UNOPS email addresses when submitting your proposal.

Refer to Article 10, "Proposal Submission", of the [Instructions to Applicants](#) for details on the specific requirements for proposal submission.

1.16. Type of legal instrument

The applicable legal instrument(s) are identified hereunder.

- Grant Support Agreement
- UN2UN Agreement [only for UN agencies]

1.17. Contact information

All correspondence, notifications and requests for clarifications in relation to this Call for Proposals shall be sent to:

Name	Teresa Schueler
Title	Programme Management Support - Senior Assistant
Email	teresas@unops.org

1.18. Important dates and deadlines

The following tables provide the key dates and deadlines pertaining to this Call for Proposals.

	Date	Time	Timezone
Submission of proposals	23 December 2024	23:59	CET
Request for clarification	19 December 2024	23:59	CET
Expected agreement start date	31 January 2025	00:00	CET

2. REQUIREMENTS

2.1. Approach and methodology

The proposal has to outline what guidelines and principles the Applicant follows throughout the implementation of the project in the below areas:

1. Health and Safety Requirements:
2. Social and Environmental Requirements (such as gender and social inclusion):
3. Protection from Sexual Exploitation and Abuse Requirements:
4. Sustainability Requirements, both internal to the organization as well as considerations regarding the implementations of the specific activities under the grant

2.2. Implementation Plan requirements

Using the proposed outputs, deliverables and activities, in view of achieving the outcomes of the grant/funding, complete the Implementation Plan. The Implementation Plan should accurately show the sequence and timeframe for the delivery of each activity and output.

2.3. Implementing Partner Monitoring Plan requirements

Complete the Implementing Partner (IP) Monitoring Plan.

2.4. Budget requirements

- a. Budget Ceiling: up to USD 40,000 to 60,000 as indicated in the [Particulars](#)
- b. At a minimum, the budgets must include:
 - i. An estimate of direct costs, which include all of the expenses that are required for, and can be tracked directly to, the grant/funding accounts. Direct costs must be broken down by expense subcategory, by expense line item and by year.
 - ii. A description of assumptions or justifications underlying the estimates
 - iii. A breakdown of costs by Activity listed under Section 1.6.
- c. The costs will be eligible only if these are incurred for the purpose of this grant/funding and within the duration mentioned in the legal instrument (including any amendments)
- d. Article II, Section 7, of the Convention on the Privileges and Immunities of the United Nations provides, inter alia, that the United Nations, including UNOPS as a subsidiary organ, is exempt from all direct taxes, except charges for public utility services, and is exempt from customs restrictions, duties, and charges of a similar nature in respect of articles imported or exported for its official use. All proposals shall be submitted net of any direct taxes and any other taxes and duties
- e. Grant budgets may include indirect costs up to 10% of direct costs. In case of subgrants, indirect costs on the subgrant amount should be calculated and presented separately.

3. EVALUATION METHOD AND CRITERIA

Proposals submitted in response to this CFP document shall be evaluated following the cumulative analysis methodology, which consists of the following steps:

- a. **Preliminary screening:** This includes an assessment of whether proposals comply with the formal and eligibility criteria stated in [Table 1: Formal and eligibility criteria](#). All proposals which pass this stage will go through a subsequent evaluation as follows.
- b. **Technical evaluation:** This assesses the technical points achieved by each proposal, as per the maximum obtainable points assigned per criteria group in [Table 2.1: Parts of the technical proposal evaluation](#). Only proposals that meet the minimum threshold indicated in [Table 2: Technical criteria](#) shall be considered substantially compliant at this stage. Evaluation of the technical proposals shall be completed prior to opening the financial proposals.
- c. **Financial evaluation:** Financial proposals will only be opened for proposals that have achieved the minimum threshold in the technical evaluation. Financial proposals shall be checked for any mathematical errors in accordance with Article 15, "Minor Informalities, Errors or Omissions" in the [Instructions to Applicants](#). The total financial proposal points achieved for each proposal are determined in accordance with [Table 3: Financial criteria](#).
- d. **Combined analysis:** This evaluation will be conducted based on a combined analysis, analyzing all of the relevant costs, risks and benefits for each proposal. The combined analysis includes the scores from both the technical evaluation, including factors such as risks, sustainability, and others, and the financial evaluation, using a predefined weighting method.

The maximum number of points that an applicant may obtain for its proposal are as follows:

- Technical proposal: 70 points
- Financial proposal: 30 points

The maximum score an applicant may obtain for both the technical and financial proposals is 100. The weighting of the technical and financial proposals will be 70:30 for the technical proposal and the financial proposal.

UNOPS may request clarification or further information in writing from applicants at any point during the evaluation process. In this case, any response from an applicant shall not modify the substance of the proposal, including both the technical and financial aspects of the proposal. UNOPS may use such information to interpret and evaluate the relevant proposal.

The evaluation of a proposal by UNOPS shall be carried out against the evaluation criteria described in the following tables.

3.1. Preliminary screening

Table 1 FORMAL AND ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA	
Criteria evaluated on a pass/fail basis during the preliminary screening	Documents to establish compliance with the criteria
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The applicant is eligible as defined in Article 1, "Applicant Eligibility" in the Instructions to Applicants. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Proposal Annex 1: Declarations Annex 2: PSEA implementing partner self-assessment Certificate of registration/incorporation
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The proposal is complete and includes all completed forms and other documentation requested in the Particulars, 'Content of proposal submissions'. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> All documentation requested in the Particulars, 'Content of proposal submissions'
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The applicant accepts the conditions in the template for agreement, as specified in the Particulars, 'Type of legal instrument'. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Annex 1: Declarations
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Organization must demonstrate at least 3 years of experience in working on climate finance transparency, and development and coordination of training programmes for developing countries. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Proposal Final reports and/or reference letters from the two most recent non-UNOPS projects
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Organization must have administrative capacity for annual financial turnover of at least USD 50,000. 	<p>Applicant must submit:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Certified financial statements for 2022 fiscal year; and Certified financial statements for 2023 fiscal year. <p>The financial statements shall include balance sheets, income statements, cash flow statements and statements of shareholders' equity for 2022 and 2023 demonstrating a min yearly turnover of USD 50,000. Statements shall be duly stamped and signed by the organization's Director and Chief Financial Officer/Chief accountant. Audited financial statements for the same period shall be provided, if available.</p>

3.2. Technical evaluation

Table 2 TECHNICAL CRITERIA	
Criteria evaluated based on scoring during the technical evaluation	Documents to establish compliance with the criteria
<p>The maximum number of technical points obtainable is detailed in Table 2.1: Parts of the technical proposal evaluation.</p> <p>To be technically compliant, applicants must obtain a minimum threshold of 70% of the total obtainable technical points.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Proposal Reports of past projects completed

Table 2.1 Parts of the technical proposal evaluation		Obtainable points
1.	Applicant's capacity and expertise	20
2.	Proposed methodology, approach and implementation plan	20
3.	Key personnel proposed	30
Total technical proposal points		70

Table 2.1.1 Part 1: Applicant's capacity and expertise

	Criteria to be evaluated	Documents to establish compliance with the criteria (not exhaustive)	Obtainable points
1.1	<p>The applicant has demonstrated experience in climate finance or climate finance transparency.</p> <p>Exceed expectations: up to 10 points Fully Meet expectations: up to 8 points Partially meet expectations: up to 4 points Unsatisfactory: 0 points</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Proposal • Reports of past projects completed 	10
1.2	<p>The applicant has demonstrated experience in developing training for developing countries, preferably including experience working with Anglophone African countries</p> <p>Exceed expectations: up to 10 points Fully Meet expectations: up to 8 points Partially meet expectations: up to 4 points Unsatisfactory: 0 points</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Proposal 	10
Total points for Part 1			20

Table 2.1.2 Part 2: Proposed methodology, approach and implementation plan

No.	Criteria to be evaluated	Documents to establish compliance with the criteria (not exhaustive)	Obtainable points
2.1	<p>The proposal includes relevant actions and tasks clearly linked to the achievement of the targeted outcomes of the grant/funding. A high level of knowledge on climate finance transparency and the development of training for developing countries is reflected.</p> <p>Exceed expectations: up to 14 points Fully Meet expectations: up to 10 points Partially meet expectations: up to 5 points Unsatisfactory: 0 points</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Proposal 	14
2.2	<p>The proposal includes a sound implementation plan and a realistic timeline.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Proposal 	3
2.3	<p>Both internal and external risks are duly considered and the proposed mitigation actions are appropriate.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Proposal 	3
Total points for Part 2			20

Table 2.1.3 Part 3: Key personnel proposed

	Criteria to be evaluated	Documents to establish compliance with the criteria (not exhaustive)	Obtainable points
3.1	<p>The applicant's proposed team demonstrates a suitable composition and structure, including appropriate numbers of subject matter and technical experts. Person days assigned to the project align with the scope and objectives of the grant support project activities.</p> <p>Note: The number of days by team members must be included in the technical proposal to ensure this can be assessed separately from the budget.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Proposal, Section 6 	5
3.2	<p>The applicant provides adequate and clear descriptions of the roles of each member of the team.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Proposal, Section 6 	5
3.3	<p>The qualifications and experience of the proposed team meet the established requirements for the implementation of the grant support project activities.</p> <p>Note: The CVs of proposed personnel are to be included in the submission. Exceed expectations: up to 20 points Fully Meet expectations: up to 10 points Partially meet expectations: up to 5 points Unsatisfactory: 0 points</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Proposal, Sections 8 and 9 	20
Total points for Part 3			30

3.3. Financial evaluation

Table 3 FINANCIAL CRITERIA

	Criteria evaluated based on a cumulative analysis methodology during the financial evaluation	Documents to establish compliance with the criteria	Obtainable points
1.	<p>Total Budget: A maximum of 10 points will be allocated to the lowest total budget. Total budgets of other substantially compliant applicants will be scored according to the following formula:</p> $\text{Points for budget amount} = \frac{\text{[lowest total budget amount]} \times 10}{\text{[Total budget amount of proposal under evaluation]}}$	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Annex 3: Financial proposal 	10
2.	<p>Applicant organizations comply with the maximum budgets stipulated in the Budget requirements.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Annex 3: Financial proposal 	5
3.	<p>The applicant has provided sufficient justification of budget lines and lump sums.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Annex 3: Financial proposal 	5
4.	<p>The allocation of budget among different categories is appropriate, particularly the allocation between activities and the operational budget.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Annex 3: Financial proposal 	5
5.	<p>The applicant's cost estimates and the assumptions made for such estimates are reasonable.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Annex 3: Financial proposal 	5
Total financial proposal points			30