

INVITATION TO BID No. UNFPA/DNK/ITB/23/003

**Establishment of Global Blanket Purchase Agreements for Pharmaceuticals,
Medical Devices and Kits (MegaBid)**

QUESTIONS AND CLARIFICATIONS ROUND 5

Q1. May I kindly ask to include the quantities to be included in the kits for each of the printing materials listed in Annex 21.

A1. Quantities have been incorporated to Annex 21 in column H “Qty”.

Q2. In case of printing in both sides, can be one/two languages in each size or must be all languages in both sides?

A2. The information is provided in Annex 21, column D “Note”.

Q3. For the following kits the calculation in column J refers to column H multiplied by column I, whereas I believe it should be column G multiplied by column I.

Kit 12 – Blood Transfusion

CC Kit Non-Pneumatic Anti-Shock Garment

CC Kit Hand-Held Vacuum Assisted Delivery System

MVA kitting service

In addition the price for the ‘other items’ does not seem to be adjusted to the price for 1 piece if the supplier pack size is for multiple pieces. I have adjusted this manually for now in the item price.

A3. It has been corrected in Annex 9 so now calculations in column J include column

G multiplied by column I.

Q4. Single-use scalpels – items 363-367 and 464-464. Can you kindly confirm the following packaging sizes are required:

363 – 100 pcs/pack

364 – 1 pc/pack

365 – 100 pcs/pack

366 – 1 pc/pack

367 – 100 pcs/pack

463– 1 pc/pack

434 – 10 pcs/pack

A4. Pack sizes of these items are as follows:

363 - Pack of 1

Sentence “Box of 100 units, cardboard, labeling is the same as unit presentation with total quantity” has been removed from technical specifications.

364 - Pack of 1

Sentence “Box of 100 units, cardboard, labeling is the same as unit presentation with total quantity” has been removed from technical specifications.

365 – Pack of 100

366 – Pack of 1

Sentence “Box of 100 units, cardboard, labeling is the same as unit presentation with total quantity” has been removed from technical specifications.

367 – Pack of 100

464 – Pack of 1

Sentence “Box of 100 units, cardboard, labeling is the same as unit presentation with total quantity” has been removed from technical specifications.

434 – Pack of 10.

Q5. Item 507 has “Richardson-Eastman” in its short spec but “Farabeuf” in its detailed spec-s. Kindly clarify which one should be offered.

A5. UNFPA Item Description of bid Item No. 507 Richardson-Eastman retractors, small size (for suprapubic procedure) modified to Retractor, double-ended, Farabeuf, 120 mm, pair.

Q6. We were wondering if UNFPA has changed its level of quality of the offered items requirement (which can be distilled from the detailed technical spec-s) since the last tender. We are asking that question since past procurement data hints towards lower-cost and, hence, different quality level items being offered in the past.

A6. UNFPA recognizes the following QA standards: WHO PQ, ERP, SRA and UNFPA internal QA approval. There are selected products that are eligible for the 1st 3 accreditation process only. For any other pharmaceuticals the bidders may submit dossiers for any of the 4 QA standards. The price has no factor in the quality standards. The same is applicable to Medical Devices and other commodities.

Q7. Annex 9. Price bid form. In the new Annex 9 Revised Amend 5 (1), the bidder is restricted from inputting the values for the kitting cost, warehouse cost, reconfiguration cost and kitting lead time as the cells are protected. The bidder hereby requests UNFPA to unprotect the cells to enable inputting of relevant costs.

A7. The cells have been unprotected in Annex 9.

Q8. Annex 9, Bid number 600 & 196 Bid no.600 Bag (envelope), plastic, for drugs, 10 x 15cm is newly added in "others" in the recently amended Annex 9, however similar product is under bid number 196 in kit 5. The bidder would like to understand the reason for inclusion of two different bid numbers for the same item.

A8. Item 600 is part of Other Items in Annex 9, tab 8. The only item with description Bag (envelope), plastic, for drugs, 10 x 15cm is Bid Item No. 196.

Q9. Annex 9, Bid number 600. Bid no. 600 was part of kit 3 as per Amendment no. 5 which is now changed to bid no. 196 (as per Annex 9 Revised Amend 5 (1)). The change is noted, however we notice that there is no scope to add price for bid no. 600 which is now part of Other items.

A9. Item 600 has been added to Annex 9, tab 8.

Q10. I want to tell you that you make the prices of the main items were linked to the prices of the sets, but to the price of the package and not to the price of the pill, and this made the total prices of one set and its quantities very large.

For example :

In IARH kit items no (299 and 301 and 303 and 297) syringe 1ml and 2ml and 5ml and 10 ml you order in this kit 500 pack from each item and each pack 100 pcs this quantity from each item are very big for this kit and each item has big total amount.

So, the correct method for these items and another items must be it's price in kits per pcs price not per pack price and will kit total will be good and it's quantity will be ok.

For your information all kits include the same problem.

And you send during in your amendment no 1 and 2 and 3 and 4 that quantity for the items which are including in kits that it's quantities in pack and this is wrong.

How can we work to solve this problem?

A10. Price considered to calculate total price of kits is FCA Bidders Warehouse Price per Unit of Measure (tablet, caps, vial, amp, syringe, etc.) as per column Q in Annex 9, tab 1. This amount is multiplied by the quantity needed per each item in the kit, as indicated in column I in Annex 9, tab 7 and 8 Quantity as per UOM.