

## Clarifications to the Call for Proposals (CFP)

**Project Title:** Program Management, Coordination, and Support in implementation of Assistive Technology (AT) activities to the Ministry of Health and Ministry of Social Welfare, Veterans and Youth Rehabilitation, Government of Cambodia (2023-2025)

**CFP Reference No.:** ATscale/Grant/2022/007

**Date:** 25 December 2022

Item	Page Number/ Section/ Paragraph (in original CFP)	QUESTION	ANSWER
1.	CFP Annex E, Cambodia Concept Note	Would you consider a proposal that deviates from the current concept note and proposes a) how we will address the currently changing policy environment with regards to AT and b) what we believe is a more sustainable approach to expanding refractive error services and access to glasses in Cambodia?	We don't expect bidders to deviate significantly from what is laid out in the concept note. The concept note was developed after a series of consultations with govt and in-country development partners. The focus should be on detailing the implementation approach to ensure the successful execution of the activities identified therein. This applies to all sections of the concept note i.e. physical rehabilitation, refractive error, and cross-cutting activities.
2	Page 2, Section 1. General Instructions for Proposal Submission	Considering the changes to the sector in Cambodia, would you consider allowing for an at least 2 week extension to the deadline to put together the proposal as further consultation with MoH and relevant local stakeholders will need to take place?	As per the requests that ATscale has received, the deadline of the CFP has been amended and now the CFP deadline is <b>Friday, 06 January 2023 11:00 AM (CET), Geneva Time</b> , please follow the CFP amendment posted on UNGM ( <a href="https://www.ungm.org/Public/Notice/185861">https://www.ungm.org/Public/Notice/185861</a> ).
3	CFP Annex E, Cambodia Concept Note	We see from the concept note's detailed budget that certain activities in the concept note specify a consultant or external expertise will support the government in carrying out an activity, such as conducting a rATA assessment. If the organisation responding to the CFP feel they have in-house expertise to support the government on these activities, without the use of a consultant, can portions of the activity budget be used towards organisation staffing to cover this effort?	The applicant can repurpose the budget to organization staffing for the concept note activities that will be implemented by consultant or external expertise. However, applicants need to provide a strong justification of in-house availability of expertise and mention explicitly in the activity cost. These costs should be reflected under the programmatic budget and not under the general TA line.

These clarifications shall form part of the official CFP documents. Please be guided accordingly.

Thank you.