

LRPS-2022-9178341 Solar market assessment

Clarifications to Questions from Bidders

Part 2 - 07/10/2022

No	Reference document	Question from Bidders	Clarification from UNICEF
1	Annex B: Term of Reference (TOR) 8. Evaluation process	Can you confirm the scoring process for this tender? Is the following example the right interpretation? - Bidder A scores 60 for technical and 5 for commercial so total score is 65 - Bidder B scores 49 for technical and 17 for commercial so total score is 66. Outcome: Bidder B wins the tender?	For detailed explanation of the evaluation process, kindly refer to the main LRPS document page 11, and Annex B - TOR section 8. The combined score from technical and financial proposal will decide on the contract award recommendation (best value for money principle). In this RFPS, the weight for the technical proposal is 70 points, and 30 points for the financial proposal. Thus, the quality of the proposal is rated higher than the price. The given example is correct in principle, while the lowest financial offer would obtain full 30 points.
2	Annex B: Term of Reference (TOR) 3. Description of assignment	2.Is there a time frame for the data and other energy initiatives that is being requested on solar energy demand and energy access. For e.g. are you looking for the next 5 years? 10 yrs, 15 yrs etc?	There is no specific time frame so all or any options presented would be considered.