

LRPS-2020-9167992 Climate Smart WASH Technology Catalogue with Modeling
Clarifications to Questions from Bidders
Part 3 - 9-Jul-2021

No	Reference	Question from Bidders	Clarification from UNICEF
1	Annex B: Term of Reference (TOR) 4. Description of assignments 5. Deliverables	- Presumably UNICEF want the evaluation to look at both hardware and software options, is this correct? This includes technologies that are part of the physical infrastructure and those that can be used to monitor performance.	This assessment is only on Hardware not software. The software is about modelling and all the technologies are part of the water and sanitation supply chain.
2	Annex B: Term of Reference (TOR) 4. Description of assignments 5. Deliverables	- Should the evaluation only look at technologies being used by UNICEF EAP countries or can bidder highlight others that are relevant?	All technologies, including the one recently developed. Not only UNICEF one. It will embrace also technologies from Europe, ASIA, Americas, with GHG innovations.
3	Annex B: Term of Reference (TOR) 1. Background 4. Description of assignments 5. Deliverables	- What is the rationale for only focussing on technologies that have been introduced in the past 5 years?	This is narrow down the scope and focus more on the recent innovation.
4	Annex B: Term of Reference (TOR) 4. Description of assignments 5. Deliverables	- Could UNICEF confirm the technology review should cover all aspects of WASH: Water Resources Management, Water Supply, Faecal Sludge Management, Solid Waste Management, Wastewater and Hygiene Promotion? Some of these thematic areas are mentioned in the TOR but not all.	Yes.
5	Annex B: Term of Reference (TOR) 4. Description of assignments 5. Deliverables	- Should the assessment include small scale agriculture that would support rural farmers?	No, but if the sanitation end product is used in agriculture it should be mentioned and link created.
6	Annex B: Term of Reference (TOR) 4. Description of assignments 5. Deliverables	It would make sense if the WASH technology catalogue is divided into categories, - Does UNICEF have any initial thoughts on how the catalogue should be categorised?	Yes, this usually should come from the methodology of the bidder. The standard categorisation of technologies through WASH services supply chain will be used.
7	Annex B: Term of Reference (TOR) 4. Description of assignments 5. Deliverables	The TOR makes no mention of technology suppliers. Presumably this needs to be included in the review, is this correct?	Technologies are linked to suppliers, and clear reference and link of the technologies and details from the suppliers should be mentioned.
8	Annex B: Term of Reference (TOR) 4. Description of assignments 5. Deliverables	For engineering drawings to be included or replicated, - Are permissions required from manufacturers and who is responsible for obtaining authorisation?	Bidder.
9	Annex B: Term of Reference (TOR) 4. Description of assignments 5. Deliverables	- What is meant by technological modelling in Excel format? - Should this approach show how a particular technology option can reduce greenhouse gas emissions within a particular community? - If so, what baseline data does UNICEF have or can generic information from literature be applied?	Bidder should develop a methodology for each technology for GHG emission and reduction and this should be linked to modeling. The process of community selection was answered earlier.

No	Reference	Question from Bidders	Clarification from UNICEF
10	Annex B: Term of Reference (TOR) 4. Description of assignments 5. Deliverables	Technology provides one area of progress, presumably the catalogue should also address other necessities, such as: how the technology must be used, managed and financed?	This should be part of the bidder methodology. Technologists should have description, location where easy to use, climate condition, population to be served, OM, Cost investment and operation, GHG emission, Option to reduce GHG emission in this particular case.
11	Others: Deadline extension	Given the turnaround for providing answers, - Would it be possible to request a deadline extension?	To allow bidders have sufficient time in preparing the proposals/submission. The new closing date of e-submission on UNGM is now 20-Jul-2021 at 10:00 AM (Bangkok Time).
12	Others: Budget indication	- Would you have a budget for this assignment?	Kindly be advised to submit your best financial proposal in alignment with all requirements specified on TOR. If bidder wishes to propose different solutions / costing options, please do include these different options in the proposal (ensure to highlight that these are options, not added up to the total lumpsum). The evaluation team will consider the different options and come back with questions or clarifications during the evaluation process if required.